	ed States Patent a	ND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/066,140	02/01/2002	Satyendra Yadav	10559-755001	5189	
20985 75	. 07/26/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY		
12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132		
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>			
	Applicatio	No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/066,140		YADAV, SATYENDRA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Venkatanar	ayanan Perungavoor	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply			correspondence ac	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no even ttion. ys, a reply within the statut y period will apply and will by statute, cause the applic	, however, may a reply be tir ry minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered time i the mailing date of this c :D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
·—	This action is no			
3) Since this application is in condition for a	•	•		e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder Ex parte Qua	//e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from con	ideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) $1-33$ is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · ·		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election red	urement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 February 2002</u>	<u>2</u> is/are: a)⊠ acce	pted or b) 🗌 objecte	ed to by the Exami	iner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is require	l if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been	received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been	received in Applicat	ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of th	e priority documer	ts have been receive	ed in this National	Stage
application from the International E	-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certifi	ed copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		) Interview Summary		
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/		Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F		O-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/1/02 &amp; 9/15/03</u> .	,	i) 🔲 Other:	,	,
5. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Of	ffice Action Summary	Par	t of Paper No./Mail Da	10 220720051

98

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form

the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1-33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being U.S. Patent Publication

2003/0126468 B1 by Markham with priority under 35 U.S.C § 119(a) based on PCT No.

PCT/US01/17153. (as WO 200191418 AZ November 29, 2001)

Regarding Claim 1, Markham discloses the receiving requests for network

communications services, selectively designating each of received requests as

authorized or unauthorized, monitoring inbound communications, based on authorized

requests to detect intrusion see Par. 0011-0012 & Par. 0029 & Par. 0094.

Regarding Claim 2, Markham discloses the blocking of inbound network

communications and monitoring the blocked inbound network communications see Par. 0036.

Regarding Claim 3, 14, 27 and 33, Markham discloses the examining blocked inbound communications, identifying the source and initiating monitoring of inbound communication from that source see Par. 0032 & Par. 0034.

Regarding Claim 4, 16, Markham discloses the examining the blocked network communications by checking for patterns see Par. 0015 & par. 0083-0087.

Regarding Claim 5, 17, Markham discloses the responses to monitoring blocked inbound communication see Par. 0100.

Regarding Claim 6, 18, and 29, Markham discloses the checking inbound communications identified from the packet-level exploits see Par. 0034.

Regarding Claim 7, Markham discloses the updating the packet filter in response to unauthorized requests see Par. 0014-0015.

Regarding Claim 8, Markham discloses the monitoring of network communications initiated by application specific intrusion signature see Par. 0094-0096.

Regarding Claim 9, 15, and 28, Markham discloses the examining the set of instructions of the application see Par. 0100.

Regarding Claim 10 and 11, 20 and 21, Markham discloses the invoking the intrusion detection by invoked application and being executed within a single context see Par. 0104-0106.

Regarding Claim 12, 19, and 30 Markham discloses the encryption of packets and comparing of packets to determine applications see Par. 0140-0143.

Regarding Claim 13, Markham discloses the receiving requests for network communications services, selectively designating each of received requests as authorized or unauthorized, monitoring inbound communications, based on authorized requests to detect intrusion, initiating monitoring in response to application-specific signature see Par. 0011-0012 & Par. 0029 & Par. 0094 & par. 0140-0143.

Regarding Claim 22, Markham discloses the network requests that fail to satisfy the application-specific network policy and also by mutual exclusion the requests that satisfy the network policy see Par. 0083; the blocking of network communications that do not satisfy the application-specific network policy see Par. 0074-0076; the responding to blocked network communications see Par. 0078 & Par. 0100.

Regarding Claim 23, Markham discloses "network policy enforcer" and "network traffic enforcer" being shared in part of intrusion detection system see Par. 0096 & Par. 0091.

Regarding Claim 24, Markham discloses the receiving requests for network communications services, selectively designating each of received requests as authorized or unauthorized, monitoring inbound communications, based on authorized requests to detect intrusion see Par. 0011-0012 & Par. 0029 & Par. 0094, detection in response to intrusion prelude and identified abnormal application behavior see Par. 0083-0084 & Par.0100.

Regarding Claim 25, Markham discloses the responding to attacks and further redirect the packets for further analysis see Par. 0087 & Par. 0015.

Regarding Claim 26, Claim 26 contains similar language as Claim 13 above and is rejected along the same lines.

Regarding Claim 31, Markham discloses the blocking inbound communications that fail to correspond to network policy and detecting a potential intrusion from the blocked network communications see Par. 0011-0012 & Par. 0029 & Par. 0094; responding to attacks and further redirecting the packets for further analysis see Par. 0087 & Par. 0015.

Regarding Claim 32, Claim 32 contains similar claim language as Claim 1 above is rejected along the same lines.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent 6501752 B1 to Kung et al.

U.S. patent 6496483 B1 to Kung et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkatanarayanan Perungavoor whose telephone number is 571-272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Venkatanarayanan Perungavoor Examiner Art Unit 2132

Gibert i

GILBERTO BARRON JA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

7/22/2005